

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,615	GOMEZ-CHIARRI ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

All Participants:

(1) David A. Lambertson.

(2) Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens Voicemail.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A message was left with the receptionist at Samuels, Gauthier and Stevens, LLP, to ascertain the name of the attorney currently prosecuting the instant application. It was indicated that no response was received with regard to the previous Office Action. As such, the case was technically abandoned, and confirmation of the status of the case was sought. No return phone call was received regarding the instant case, and it was presumed that the no response was filed and that the case was abandoned.